**CS 192 Alpha Testing Summary**

**Tested Project**: SARAPP sa UP **Developer’s Name:** johnjudeandjennie

**Date of Alpha Testing:** April 26, 2017

**Alpha Testers (10):** Eunice, JD, EJ, Deanne, Matthew, Karen, Jairus, Zak, Posey, Jaypee

**Functional Goals**

All of the User Acceptance Criteria were marked ‘Yes’ by the ten alpha testers.

**Tester’s Comments:**

“Uy, ang nice! Nice color and organized naman siya =)” – Eunice

“Remove apostrophes when searching” – EJ

“Would be better to include “no location not found” and “store not found” message, a blank results is quite confusing. Should have included more stores for testing.” – Jairus

**Usability Goals**

System Usability Scale Standard Version (*1 – Strongly Disagree, 5 – Strongly Agree*) Average

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. I think that I would like to use this system. | **4.2** |
| 2. I found the system unnecessarily complex. | **1.5** |
| 3. I thought the system was easy to use. | **4.4** |
| 4. I think that I would need the support of a technical person to be able to use this system | **1.7** |
| 5. I found the various functions in the system were well integrated. | **4.4** |
| 6. I thought there was too much inconsistency in this system. | **1.5** |
| 7. I would image that most people would learn to use this system very quickly. | **4.3** |
| 8. I found the system very cumbersome to use. | **1.3** |
| 9. I felt very confident using the system. | **4.2** |
| 10. I needed to learn a lot of things before I could get going with this system. | **1.5** |

**Average SUS Score:** 85

**Tester’s Comments:**

“Include Confirmation messages and it’s good.” – Jairus  
“No way to go back to previous page from review creation except browser back button.” – Jaypee

**Developer’s Comments:** Minor improvements are needed but the application received the approval of the testers. The developers will look into and consider the comments received. The greatest problem we saw was the difficulty of the testers in understanding how it should be used (receiving a 1.7 in SUS No. 4), otherwise the functions in the system were well integrated, according to the testers (receiving a 4.4 in SUS No. 5).